arXiv:1602.09016v1 [math.NT] 29 Feb 2016

SOME RING-THEORETIC PROPERTIES OF $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$

KIRAN S. KEDLAYA

Abstract. The ring of Witt vectors over a perfect valuation ring of characteristic p, often denoted $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, plays a pivotal role in p-adic Hodge theory; for instance, Bhatt, Morrow, and Scholze have recently reinterpreted and refined the crystalline comparison isomorphism by relating it to a certain $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$-valued cohomology theory. We address some basic ring-theoretic questions about $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, motivated by analogies with two-dimensional regular local rings. For example, we show that in most cases $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, which is manifestly not noetherian, is also not coherent. On the other hand, it does have the property that vector bundles over the complement of the closed point in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ do extend uniquely over the puncture; moreover, a similar statement holds in Huber's category of adic spaces.

Throughout this paper, let K be a perfect field of characteristic p equipped with a valuation. A fundamental role is played in p-adic Hodge theory is played by the ring $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}} = W(\mathfrak{o}_K)$, where $\mathfrak{o}_K$ denotes the valuation ring of K and W denotes the functor of p-typical Witt vectors. The ring $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ serves as the basis for Fontaine's construction of p-adic period rings and the ensuing analysis of comparison isomorphisms; notably, Bhatt, Morrow, and Scholze have described the crystalline comparison isomorphism via a direct construction of certain $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$-modules [2].

We discuss several issues germane to [2] regarding ring-theoretic properties of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, particularly those related to the analogy between $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ and two-dimensional regular local rings. In the negative direction, the ring $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ is typically not coherent (Theorem 1.2); in the positive direction, vector bundles over the complement of the closed point in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ extend over the puncture (Theorem 2.7), and similarly if the Zariski spectrum is replaced by the Huber adic spectrum (Theorem 3.6).

We also discuss briefly some related questions in the case where K is replaced by a more general nonarchimedean Banach ring. These are expected to pertain to a hypothetical relative version of the results of [2].

1. Finite generation properties

Definition 1.1. A ring is *coherent* if every finitely generated ideal is finitely presented. Note that an integral domain is coherent if and only if the intersection of any two finitely generated ideals is again finitely generated [3].

A result of Anderson–Watkins [1], building on work of Jøndrup–Small [7] and Vasconcelos, [11] (see also [5, Theorem 8.1.9]) asserts that a power series over a nondiscrete valuation ring can never be coherent except possibly if the value group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. Using a similar technique, we have the following.

Date: February 29, 2016.

Supported by NSF (grant DMS-1501214), UC San Diego (Warschawski Professorship), Guggenheim Fellowship (fall 2015). Some of this work was carried out at MSRI during the fall 2014 research program "New geometric methods in number theory and automorphic forms" supported by NSF grant DMS-0932078.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that the value group of K is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ is not coherent.*

Proof. It suffices to exhibit elements $f, g \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ such that $(f) \cap (g)$ is not finitely generated. Suppose first that the value group of K is archimedean. Let $v : K^\times \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real normalization of the valuation on K. Since K is perfect, its value group cannot be discrete, and hence must be dense in $\mathbb{R}$. We can thus choose elements $\overline{x}_0, \overline{x}_1, \ldots \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ such that $v(\overline{x}_0), v(\overline{x}_1), \ldots$ is a decreasing sequence with positive limit $r \notin v(\mathfrak{o}_K)$ and $v(\overline{x}_0/\overline{x}_1) > v(\overline{x}_1/\overline{x}_2) > \cdots$. Put $f := [\overline{x}_0]$ and $g := \sum_{n=0}^\infty p^n [\overline{x}_n]$.

Recall that the ring $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ admits a theory of *Newton polygons* analogous to the corresponding theory for polynomials or power series over a valuation ring; see [8, Definition 4.2.8] for details. To form the Newton polygon of g, we take the lower convex hull of the set $\{(n, v(\overline{x}_n)) : n = 0, 1, \ldots\}$; its slopes are thus equal to $-v(\overline{x}_n/\overline{x}_{n+1})$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. If $h = \sum_{n=0}^\infty p^n [\overline{h}_n] \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ is divisible by both f and g, then on one hand, we have $h/f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty p^n [\overline{h}_n/\overline{x}_0]$, so $v(\overline{h}_n) \geq v(\overline{x}_0)$ for all n; on the other hand, the Newton polygon of h must include all of the slopes of the Newton polygon of g, so its total width must be at least r. It follows that $v(\overline{h}_0) \geq 2v(\overline{x}_0) - r$.

Conversely, any $\overline{h}_0 \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ with $v(\overline{h}) \geq 2v(\overline{x}_0) - r$ extends to some $h \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ divisible by both f and g, e.g., by taking $h = g[\overline{h}]/[\overline{x}_0]$. Since $2v(\overline{x}_0) - r \notin v(\mathfrak{o}_K)$, it follows that the image of $(f) \cap (g)$ in $\mathfrak{o}_K$ is an ideal which is not finitely generated; consequently, $(f) \cap (g)$ itself cannot be finitely generated.

Suppose next that the value group of K is not archimedean. We can then choose some nonzero $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ such that for every positive integer n, $\overline{x}$ is divisible by $\overline{y}^n$ in $\mathfrak{o}_K$. Let $r_1, r_2, \ldots$ be a decreasing sequence of elements of $\mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}]_{>0}$ whose sum diverges. Put $f := [\overline{x}]$ and $g := \sum_{n=0}^\infty p^n [\overline{x}/\overline{y}^{r_1 + \cdots + r_n}]$. As above, we see that if $h = \sum_{n=0}^\infty p^n [\overline{h}_n] \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ is divisible by both f and g, then on one hand, we have $v(\overline{h}_n) \geq v(\overline{x})$ for each n; on the other hand, the Newton polygon of h includes all of the slopes of the Newton polygon of g, so its total width must exceed $r_1 + \cdots + r_n$ for each n. It follows that $v(\overline{h}_0) \geq v(\overline{x}) + n(\overline{y})$ for every positive integer n; conversely, any $\overline{h}_0$ with this property occurs this way for $h = g[\overline{h}_0]/[\overline{x}]$. Again, this means that $(f) \cap (g)$ maps to an ideal of $\mathfrak{o}_K$ which is not finitely generated, so $(f) \cap (g)$ cannot itself be finitely generated. □

Remark 1.3. It is unclear whether the ring $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ fails to be coherent even if the value group of K equals $\mathbb{R}$, especially if we also assume that K is spherically complete. It is also unclear whether the ring $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}[p^{-1}]$ is coherent. By contrast, for every positive integer n, the quotient $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}/(p^n)$ is coherent [2, Proposition 3.24].

Remark 1.4. Let $\mathfrak{m}_K$ be the maximal ideal of K. In order to apply the formalism of almost ring theory, e.g., as developed in [4], to the ring $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, it would be useful to know that the ideal $W(\mathfrak{m}_K)$ of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ has the property that the fact $W(\mathfrak{m}_K) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}} W(\mathfrak{m}_K) \to W(\mathfrak{m}_K)$ is an isomorphism. We do not know whether this holds in general; for example, to prove that this map fails to be surjective some instance, one would have to produce an element of $W(\mathfrak{m}_K)$ which cannot be written as a finite sum of pairwise products, and we do not have a mechanism in mind for excluding the existence of such a presentation. An easier task is to produce elements of $W(\mathfrak{m}_K)$ not lying in the image of the multiplication map $W(\mathfrak{m}_K) \times W(\mathfrak{m}_K) \to W(\mathfrak{m}_K)$, as in the following example.

The following example was communicated to us by Peter Scholze.

Example 1.5. Suppose that $v(K) = \mathbb{Q}$. Construct a sequence $r_1, r_2, \dots$ of positive elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with sum 1 such that every infinite subsequence with infinite complement has irrational sum; for instance, this can be arranged by taking a sequence $1 = s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots$ converging to 0 sufficiently rapidly (e.g., doubly exponential) and putting $r_1 = s_0 - s_1, r_2 = s_1 - s_2, \dots$. Then any infinite subsequence with infinite complement can be regrouped into sums of consecutive terms, yielding another infinite sequence with rapid decay; then Liouville's criterion implies that the sum of the subsequence is irrational (and even transcendental). Now choose $x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n[\overline{x}_n] \in W(\mathfrak{m}_K)$ with $v(x_n) = s_n$; we check that $x \neq yz$ for all $y, z \in W(\mathfrak{m}_K)$. Namely, the Newton polygons of y and z must together comprise the Newton polygon of x, but due to the irrationality construction, this is impossible if both y and z have infinitely many slopes. Consequently, one of the factors, say y, has only finitely many slopes in its Newton polygon; on the other hand, if $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n[\overline{y}_n]$, there cannot exist $c > 0$ such that $v(\overline{y}_n) \geq c$ for all n, as otherwise we would also have $v(\overline{x}_n) \geq c$ for all n. Putting these two facts together, we deduce that $v(\overline{y}_n) = 0$ for some n, a contradiction.

2. Vector bundles

Recall that for A a two-dimensional regular local ring, the restriction functor from vector bundles on $\operatorname{Spec} A$ (i.e., finite free A-modules) to vector bundles on the complement of the closed point is an equivalence of categories. The standard proof of this makes essential use of dimension theory for modules over noetherian rings, and thus cannot be adapted to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$. During the course of Scholze's 2014 Berkeley lectures documented in [10], we explained to him an alternate proof applicable to the case of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$; a somewhat simplified version of this proof appears in [2, Lemma 4.6]. Here, we give a general version of this proof applicable in a variety of cases, which identifies the most essential hypotheses on the ring A.

Hypothesis 2.1. Throughout §2, let A be a local ring whose maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ contains a non-zero-divisor π such that $\mathfrak{o} := A/(\pi)$ is a (reduced) valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$.

Definition 2.2. Put $X := \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $Y := \operatorname{Spec} A \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Let B be the π-adic completion of $A_{(\pi)}$; note that within $B[\pi^{-1}]$ we have

$$A[\pi^{-1}] \cap B = A. \tag{2.2.1}$$

Let Z be the algebraic stack which is the colimit of the diagram

$$\operatorname{Spec}(A[\pi^{-1}]) \leftarrow \operatorname{Spec}(B[\pi^{-1}]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(B).$$

Let κ be the residue field of A, which is also the residue field of $\mathfrak{o}$.

Lemma 2.3. *The following statements hold.*

(a) *The pullback functor $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y$ is fully faithful.*
(b) *The pullback functor $\mathbf{Vec}_Y \to \mathbf{Vec}_Z$ is fully faithful.*
(c) *For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Vec}_*$ and $M := H^0(*, \mathcal{F})$, the adjunction morphism $\tilde{M}|_* \to \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. To deduce (a), note that by (2.2.1),

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}) = H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}) = A.$$

To deduce (b), choose $z \in A$ whose image in $A/(\pi)$ is a nonzero element of $\mathfrak{m}$ so that

$$\operatorname{Spec} A = \operatorname{Spec} A[\pi^{-1}] \cup \operatorname{Spec} A[z^{-1}],$$

then note that z is invertible in B, and within $B[\pi^{-1}]$ we have

$$A[z^{-1}, \pi^{-1}] \cap B = A[z^{-1}].$$

To deduce (c), note that the injectivity of the maps

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{O}) \to H^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{O}), \qquad H^0(V, \mathcal{O}) \to H^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{O})$$

implies the injectivity of the maps

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{F}), \qquad H^0(V, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{F})$$

and hence the injectivity of the maps

$$M \to H^0(U, \mathcal{F}), \qquad M \to H^0(V, \mathcal{F}).$$

It follows easily that the maps

$$M \otimes_R H^0(U, \mathcal{O}) \to H^0(U, \mathcal{F}), \qquad M \otimes_R H^0(V, \mathcal{O}) \to H^0(V, \mathcal{F})$$

are isomorphisms, proving (c) in the case $* = Y$; the case $* = Z$ is similar. □

The following lemma is taken from [10].

Lemma 2.4. *Let N be an $\mathfrak{o}$-submodule of $(\operatorname{Frac} \mathfrak{o})^d$. Then $\dim_{\kappa_K}(N \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \kappa) \leq d$, with equality if and only if N is a free module of rank d.*

Proof. By induction on d, we reduce to the case $d = 1$. We then see that $\dim_{\kappa_K}(N \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_K} \kappa_K)$ equals 1 if the set of valuations of elements of N has a least element, in which case N is free of rank 1, and 0 otherwise. □

Lemma 2.5. *For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Vec}_Z$ of rank d, if $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{F})$ generate $H^0(\operatorname{Spec} A[\pi^{-1}], \mathcal{F})$ and $H^0(\operatorname{Frac} \mathfrak{o}, \mathcal{F})$, then they generate $H^0(Z, \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Choose any $\mathbf{v} \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ generate $H^0(\operatorname{Spec} A[\pi^{-1}]), \mathcal{F})$, there exists a unique tuple $(r_1, \dots, r_d)$ over $A[\pi^{-1}]$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^d r_i \mathbf{v}_i$. In particular, there exists a nonnegative integer m such that $\pi^m r_1, \dots, \pi^m r_d \in A$. If $m > 0$, then $\pi^m \mathbf{v}$ is divisible by π in M, so it maps to zero in $H^0(\operatorname{Frac} \mathfrak{o}, \mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ form a basis of this module, $\pi^m r_1, \dots, \pi^m r_d$ must be divisible by π in A and so $\pi^{m-1} r_1, \dots, p^{m-1} r_d \in A$. By induction, we deduce that $r_1, \dots, r_d \in A$. This proves the claim. □

Lemma 2.6. *For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Vec}_Z$ of rank d, the module $M := H^0(Z, \mathcal{F})$ is free of rank d over A.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3(b), $M[\pi^{-1}] = H^0(\operatorname{Spec} A[\pi^{-1}], \mathcal{F})$ is a projective $A[\pi^{-1}]$-module of rank d, so we can find a finite free $A[\pi^{-1}]$-module F and an isomorphism $F \cong M[\pi^{-1}] \oplus P$ for some finite projective $A[\pi^{-1}]$-module P. Fix an isomorphism $F \cong F_0[\pi^{-1}]$ for some finite free A-module F_0, view

$$\operatorname{Gr} M[\pi^{-1}] := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (M[\pi^{-1}] \cap \pi^n F_0)/(M[\pi^{-1}] \cap \pi^{n+1} F_0)$$

as a finite projective graded module of rank d over the graded ring

$$\operatorname{Gr} A[\pi^{-1}] := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi^n A/\pi^{n+1} A \cong \mathfrak{o}((\overline{\pi})),$$

then put

$$V := (\operatorname{Gr} M[\pi^{-1}]) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}((\overline{\pi}))} \kappa((\overline{\pi})).$$

Note that for the π-adic topology, the image of M in $\operatorname{Gr} M[\pi^{-1}]$ is both open (because M contains a set of module generators of $M[\pi^{-1}]$) and bounded (because the same holds for the dual bundle). Consequently, the image T of M in V is a $\kappa[\![\overline{\pi}]\!]$-sublattice of V. Choose $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d \in M$ whose images in V form a basis of T; the images of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ in $M \otimes_R \kappa$ are linearly independent, so by Lemma 2.4 they form a basis of $M \otimes_A \mathfrak{o}$. It follows that $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ also form a basis of $M \otimes_A A/(\pi^n)$ for each positive integer n.

Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$ be the images in $M[\pi^{-1}]$ of the generators of F. By the previous paragraph, we can find elements $\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_m \in A\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + A\mathbf{v}_d$ such that $\mathbf{e}'_j = \sum_i X_{ij}\mathbf{e}_i$ for some matrix X over A with $\det(X) - 1 \in \mathfrak{p}$. The matrix X is then invertible, whence $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ generate $M[\pi^{-1}]$. By Lemma 2.5, $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ generate M, necessarily freely. □

Theorem 2.7. *The pullback functors* $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y \to \mathbf{Vec}_Z$ *are equivalences of categories.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3(a), the functors $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y \to \mathbf{Vec}_Z$ are fully faithful, so it suffices to check that $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Z$ is essentially surjective. For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Vec}_Z$, by Lemma 2.6, $M = H^0(Z, \mathcal{F})$ is a finite free A-module. By Lemma 2.3(b), we have $\tilde{M}|_Z \cong \mathcal{F}$, proving the claim. □

3. Adic glueing

We next show that vector bundles on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ can be constructed by glueing not just for a Zariski covering, but for a covering in the setting of adic spaces; this result is used in [10] as part of the construction of mixed-characteristic local shtukas. In the process, we prove a somewhat more general result.

Before proceeding, we recall a key result concerning vector bundles on adic affinoid spaces. Our terminology generally follows that of [8], especially [8, §2.4].

Proposition 3.1 (Kedlaya-Liu)**.** *Let A be a complete f-adic ring, and A^+ a subring of integral elements in A, both in the sense of Huber* [6]*. Assume further that A is* Tate *(i.e., A contains a topologically nilpotent unit) and (A, A^+) is* sheafy *(i.e., the structure presheaf on* $\operatorname{Spa}(A, A^+)$ *is a sheaf). Then the global sections functor defines an equivalence of categories between vector bundles on* $\operatorname{Spa}(A, A^+)$ *and finite projective A-modules.*

Proof. See [8, Theorem 2.7.7]. □

With this result in mind, we set some more specific notation.

Hypothesis 3.2. For the remainder of §3, let R be a complete Tate f-adic ring which is perfect of characteristic p, and let R^+ be a subring of integral elements in R (which is necessarily also perfect). For example, we may take $R = K$, $R^+ = \mathfrak{o}_K$. Let $\overline{x} \in R$ be a topologically nilpotent unit; note that necessarily $\overline{x} \in R^+$.

Definition 3.3. Topologize

$$A_1 := W(R^+)[p^{-1}],\ A_2 := W(R^+)[[\overline{x}]^{-1}],\ A_{12} := W(R^+)[(p[\overline{x}])^{-1}]$$

as complete Tate f-adic rings with ring of definition $W(R^+)$ and respective ideals of definition (p), $[\overline{x}]$, $(p[\overline{x}])$. Then put

$$B_1 := A_1 \left\langle \frac{[\overline{x}]}{p} \right\rangle,\ B_2 := A_2 \left\langle \frac{p}{[\overline{x}]} \right\rangle,\ B_{12} := A_{12} \left\langle \frac{[\overline{x}]}{p}, \frac{p}{[\overline{x}]} \right\rangle;$$

note that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$B_{12} \cong B_1 \left\langle \frac{p}{[\overline{x}]} \right\rangle \cong B_2 \left\langle \frac{[\overline{x}]}{p} \right\rangle.$$

Also put

$$B_1' := A_2 \left\langle \frac{[\overline{x}]}{p} \right\rangle,\ B_2' := A_1 \left\langle \frac{p}{[\overline{x}]} \right\rangle;$$

note that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$B_1' = B_1[[\overline{x}]^{-1}],\ B_2' = B_2[p^{-1}].$$

Proposition 3.4. *For $C = A_1, A_2, A_{12}, B_1, B_2, B_{12}, B_1', B_2'$, for any ring of integral elements C^+ in C, the pair (C, C^+) is sheafy.*

Proof. For $C = A_1, A_{12}, B_1, B_{12}, B_1', B_2'$, the ring A is preperfectoid by [8, Theorem 5.3.9], and hence (B, B^+) is sheafy by [8, Theorem 3.7.4]. For $C = B_2$, a similar argument applies; see [9, Corollary 4.1.14]. Unfortunately, this argument cannot be used for $C = A_2$ because p is not topologically nilpotent; we thus adopt a more indirect approach for this case.

Since A_2 is uniform, to check that (A_2, A_2^+) is sheafy we need only check that any local section of the structure presheaf glues to an element of A_2. Such a section is defined on some finite covering $\{U_i\}_i$ of $\mathrm{Spa}(A_2, A_2^+)$ by rational subspaces. By the previous paragraph, the restrictions of these coverings to the subspaces $\mathrm{Spa}(B_2, B_2^+)$, $\mathrm{Spa}(B_1', B_1'^+)$ give rise to global sections, so it would be enough to know that

$$0 \to A_2 \to B_2 \oplus B_1' \to B_2 \widehat{\otimes}_{A_2} B_1'$$

is exact. This follows from [8, Lemma 5.2.10]. □

We now obtain a comparison between algebraic and adic vector bundles.

Theorem 3.5. *Put $A := W(R^+)$ and let X (resp. Y) be the complement in* $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A)$*) of the closed subspace where $p = [\overline{x}] = 0$. Then pullback along the morphism $Y \to X$ of locally ringed spaces defines an equivalence of categories $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y$.*

Proof. For $A_1, A_2, A_{12}, B_1, B_2, B_{12}, B_1', B_2'$ defined as above, we have the following coverings of adic spaces by rational subspaces.

$U \cup V$	U	V	$U \cap V$
Y	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_1, B_1^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_2, B_2^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_{12}, B_{12}^\circ)$
$\mathrm{Spa}(A_1, A_1^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_1, B_1^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_2', B_2'^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_{12}, B_{12}^\circ)$
$\mathrm{Spa}(A_2, A_2^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_1', B_1'^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_2, B_2^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_{12}, B_{12}^\circ)$
$\mathrm{Spa}(A_{12}, A_{12}^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_1', B_1'^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_2', B_2'^\circ)$	$\mathrm{Spa}(B_{12}, B_{12}^\circ)$

By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, the functors

$$\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathrm{Spec}\, A_1} \to \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A_1, A_1^\circ)}, \qquad \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathrm{Spec}\, A_2} \to \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A_2, A_2^\circ)},$$

are equivalences of categories. From this, it follows that $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y$ is fully faithful. On the other hand, for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Vec}_Y$, by Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, the restrictions of $\mathcal{F}$ to the adic spectra of B_1, B_2, B_{12} are represented by finite projective modules N_1, N_2, N_{12}. We thus also obtain modules N_1', N_2' over B_1', B_2' by (algebraic) base extension from B_1, B_2. By Proposition 3.1 again, we may glue the pairs $(N_1, N_2'), (N_1', N_2), (N_1', N_2')$ to obtain finite projective modules M_1, M_2, M_{12} over A_1, A_2, A_{12} plus isomorphisms $M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R_{12} \cong M_{12}$,

$M_2 \otimes_{R_2} R_{12} \cong M_{12}$. It follows that $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y$ is essentially surjective, which completes the proof. □

As a corollary, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let v_0 be the valuation on $W(K^+)$ induced by the trivial valuation on the residue field of K^+. Put $A := W(K^+)$, $X := \mathrm{Spa}(A, A)$, $Y := X \setminus \{v_0\}$. Let $\mathbf{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{ff}}$ be the category of finite free A-modules. Then the functors $\mathbf{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{ff}}, \mathbf{Vec}_X, \mathbf{Vec}_Y$ are equivalent via the functor $\mathbf{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{ff}}$ taking M to $\tilde{M}$, the pullback functor $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y$, and the global sections functor $\mathbf{Vec}_Y \to \mathbf{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{ff}}$.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 2.7 with Theorem 3.5. □

One might like to parlay Theorem 3.6 into a version with K replaced by R, more general statement which is still algebraic (in that it concerns vector bundles on schemes), but whose proof uses the passage from schemes to adic spaces. However, one runs into an obvious difficulty in light of the following standard example in the category of schemes.

Example 3.7. Let k be a field, put $S := k[x, y, z]$, and let M be the S-module

$$\ker(S^3 \to S : (a, b, c) \mapsto ax + by + cz).$$

Put $X := \operatorname{Spec} S$, $Y := X \setminus \{(x, y, z)\}$, $Z := X \setminus \overline{\{(x, y)\}}$; then $\tilde{M} \notin \mathbf{Vec}_X$ but $\tilde{M}|_* \in \mathbf{Vec}_*$ for $* \in \{Y, Z\}$. Since $X \setminus Y$ has codimension 3 in X and $Y \setminus Z$ has codimension 2 in Y, $\tilde{M}|_Z$ has a unique extension to an S_2 sheaf (in the sense of Serre) on either X or Y, namely $\tilde{M}$ itself. In particular, $\tilde{M}$ does not lift from $\mathbf{Vec}_Z$ to $\mathbf{Vec}_X$.

Remark 3.8. For (R, R^+) as above, put

$$X := \operatorname{Spec} W(R^+), \qquad Y := \operatorname{Spec} W(R^+)[[\overline{x}]^{-1}],$$

and let Z be the algebraic stack which is the colimit of the diagram

$$\operatorname{Spec}(W(R^+)[p^{-1}]) \leftarrow \operatorname{Spec}(W(R)[p^{-1}]) \to \operatorname{Spec}(W(R)).$$

As in Lemma 2.3, we see that the functors $\mathbf{Vec}_X \to \mathbf{Vec}_Y, \mathbf{Vec}_Y \to \mathbf{Vec}_Z$ are fully faithful, and that for $* \in \{Y, Z\}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Vec}_*$, $M = H^0(*, \mathcal{F})$, the adjunction morphism $\tilde{M}|_* \to \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism. However, it should be possible (although we have not checked the details) to emulate Example 3.7 so as to produce an object of $\mathbf{Vec}_Y$ and $\mathbf{Vec}_Z$ which does not lift to $\mathbf{Vec}_X$. A natural candidate would to take R^+ to be the perfect closure of $k[\overline{x}, \overline{y}]$ for k a perfect field of characteristic p, and to take

$$M := \ker(W(R^+)^3 \to W(R^+) : (a, b, c) \mapsto a[\overline{x}] + b[\overline{y}] + cp).$$

One observation we can add to Remark 3.8 is the following.

Lemma 3.9. *With notation as in Remark 3.8, for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Vec}_*$ and $M = H^0(*, \mathcal{F})$, the natural homomorphism $M^\vee \to H^0(*, \mathcal{F}^\vee)$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, the map $M \to M^{\vee\vee}$ is an isomorphism, i.e., M is reflexive.*

Proof. From Remark 3.8, we see that the map is injective. To check surjectivity, note that any $f \in H^0(*, \mathcal{F}^\vee)$ restricts to maps $M \to W(R^+)[p^{-1}]$, $M \to W(R)$ which induce the same map $M \to W(R)[p^{-1}]$. We again deduce the claim from the equality $W(R^+)[p^{-1}] \cap W(R) = W(R^+)$. □

References

[1] M. Anderson and J. Watkins, Coherence of power series rings over pseudo-Bezout domains, *J. Alg.* **107** (1987), 187–194.

[2] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow, and P. Scholze, Integral p-adic Hodge theory, arXiv:1602.03148v1 (2016).

[3] S.U. Chase, Direct product of modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1960), 457–473.

[4] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, *Almost Ring Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[5] S. Graz, *Commutative Coherent Rings*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1371, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[6] R. Huber, *Étale Cohomology of Rigid Analytic Varieties and Adic Spaces*, Aspects of Mathematics, E30, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.

[7] S. Jøndrup and L. Small, Power series over coherent rings, *Math. Scand.* **35** (1974), 21–24.

[8] K.S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, Relative p-adic Hodge theory: Foundations, *Astérisque* **371** (2015).

[9] K.S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, Relative p-adic Hodge theory, II: Imperfect period rings, arXiv:1602.06899v1 (2016).

[10] P. Scholze, p-adic geometry, UC Berkeley course notes, 2014; notes by Jared Weinstein available at `http://math.berkeley.edu/~jared/Math274/ScholzeLectures.pdf`.

[11] W.V. Vasconcelos, The λ-dimension of a ring, in *Conference on Commutative Algebra-1975 (Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1975)*, Queen's Papers on Pure and Applied Math., Queen's Univ., Kingston, 1975, 212–224.